

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/088,147 02/25/2002		Juergen Rohner	Mo6979/LeA33,536	2909	
157	7590 01/15/2004		EXAMINER		
BAYER POLYMERS LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			FLETCHER III, WILLIAM P		
	H, PA 15205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del>~ ~ ~ ~</del>			Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
			10/088,14		ROHNER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit						
			William P.	Fletcher III	1762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status										
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 25 Fe	shruary 200	12						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 February 2002</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This action is non-final.									
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims										
	Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are objected to.									
·	•	ction and/or	election re	equirement		-				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers										
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.										
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>										
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 02/25/02.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 10/088,147 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0 629 004 A1.

This reference clearly teaches applicant's claimed method in Example 1 at p. 7.

With specific respect to claim 2, it is the examiner's position that, since this reference does not disclose thermal damage to the EVA and since the finished composite functions as intended, it is an inherent feature of the invention of this reference that the temperature at which the EVA is applied does not cause thermal damage to the EVA.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 10/088,147

Art Unit: 1762

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 0 629 004

**A1.** 

This reference discloses an overall composite temperature of 150°C during application of

the EVA. This is outside the range of from 20°C to 120°C claimed by applicant. Generally,

differences in temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by

the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such temperature is critical (see MPEP § 2144.05

II A). "[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive

to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation." In re Aller, 220 F.2d

454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955). Consequently, absent evidence of unexpected

results demonstrating the criticality of the claimed temperature range, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the process temperature in the method of

this reference by routine experimentation.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 5 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Polyvinyl fluoride is known as a weather-resistant top-layer material in photovoltaic cells (see,

for example, US 4,419,531 A). Nevertheless, EP 0 629 04 A1 teaches away from the use of

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/088,147

Art Unit: 1762

Page 4

fluorinated resins exclusively in favor of trifluorochloroethylene-vinyl copolymer (3:27-35 and

6:25-7:6). Consesquently, the prior art neither teaches nor suggests a polyvinyl fluoride as the

fluoropolymer. Lastly, it is noted that polycarbonate is a resin derived from bisphenol A (see

entry for "polycarbonate" in Hawley's Condensed Chemical Dictionary, attached).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to William P. Fletcher III whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1419. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Shrive P. Beck can be reached on (571) 272-1419. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

William P. Fletcher III

Examiner

Art Unit 1762

SHRIVE P. BECK

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700